


AD-A168 694


TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT FOR SMALL HIGH SPEED MAINSHAFT  
ROLLER BEARINGS(U) PRATT AND WHITNEY CANADA LONGUEUIL  
(QUEBEC) M K CRAWFORD ET AL. APR 86 AFMIL-TR-85-2031  
F33615-78-C-2049 F/G 13/9

1/2

UNCLASSIFIED

NL





MICROSCOPE

CHART

AFWAL-TR-85-2091

TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT FOR SMALL HIGH SPEED,  
MAINSHAFT ROLLER BEARINGS

W. K. CRAWFORD  
P. F. BROWN

United Technologies  
Pratt and Whitney Canada  
Box 10  
Longueuil, Quebec J4K 4X9

April 1986

Final Report for Period September 1978 - October 1985

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited



DTIC  
ELECTE  
JUN 10 1986  
S D D


100-1000000 COPY  
AERO PROPULSION LABORATORY  
AIR FORCE WRIGHT AERONAUTICAL LABORATORIES  
AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND  
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO 45433-6563


# NOTICE

When Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related Government procurement operation, the United States Government thereby incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, other data, is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.


This report has been reviewed by the Office of Public Affairs (ASD/PA) and is releasable to the National Technical Information Service (NTIS). At NTIS, it will be available to the general public, including foreign nations.

This technical report has been reviewed and is approved for publication.

  
JOHN B. SCHRAND  
Project Engineer  
Lubrication Branch

  
HOWARD F. JONES, Chief  
Lubrication Branch  
Fuels & Lubrication Division

FOR THE COMMANDER

  
ROBERT D. SHERRILL, Chief  
Fuels & Lubrication Division  
Aero Propulsion Laboratory

"If your address has changed, if you wish to be removed from our mailing list, or if the addressee is no longer employed by your organization please notify AFWAL/POSL, W-PAFB OH 45433-6563, to help us maintain a current mailing list".

Copies of this report should not be returned unless return is required by security considerations, contractual obligations, or notice on a specific document.

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

HDA 168694

## REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

1a REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED			1b RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS		
2a SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY			3 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Approved for public release Distribution unlimited		
2b DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE					
4 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)			5 MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) AFWAL-TR-85-2091		
6a NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Uniced Technologies Pratt & Whitney Canada		6b OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	7a NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION Air Force Wright Aeronautical Laboratories Aero Propulsion Laboratory (AFWAL/POST)		
6c ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code) Longueuil, Quebec J4K 4X9			7b ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code) Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, 45433		
8a NAME OF FUNDING SPONSORING ORGANIZATION Aero Propulsion Laboratory		8b OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) AFWAF/POST	9 PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER F33615-78-C-2049		
8c ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code) Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433			10 SOURCE OF FUNDING NOS		
			PROGRAM ELEMENT NO	PROJECT NO	TASK NO
11 TITLE (Include Security Classification) Technology Development for Small High Speed, Mainshaft Bearings			62203F	3048	06
12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) W.K. Crawford/R. Kovacic, P.F. Brown					
13a TYPE OF REPORT Final		13b TIME COVERED FROM 78910 TO 851030	14 DATE OF REPORT (Yr, Mo, Day) April 1986		15 PAGE COUNT 118
16 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION This was a cooperative program jointly funded by the U.S. Air Force, the Canadian Government and P&WC.					
17 COSATI CODES			18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)		
FIELD	GROUP	SUB GR	Cylindrical Roller Bearings Gas Turbine Mainshaft Roller Bearings		
01	05				
13	09				
19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)  High speed experimental tests on small cylindrical roller bearings provided data on parametric variables. The results were correlated with the analytical model developed for NAFPC contract N00140-76-C-0383.					
20 DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED UNLIMITED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SAME AS RPT <input type="checkbox"/> DTIC USERS <input type="checkbox"/>			21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified		
22a NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Schrand, John B			22b TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code) 513 225 7477	22c OFFICE SYMBOL AFWAL/POST	

## FOREWORD

This report describes the work performed by the Pratt & Whitney Canada Inc., of United Technologies Corporation, Box 10, Longueuil, Quebec J4K 4X9 under U.S. Air Force Contact No. F33615-78-C-2049 and Canadian Government (DRIE) Contract Nos. CCC file No. 14SU.70C3-78-R-2049 and DDS file No. 14ST.67023-8-0167. This is a final report covering work conducted from 10 September 1978 to 30 October 1985.

John Schrand of the Air Force Wright Aeronautical Laboratories, Aero Propulsion Laboratory, WPAFB (telephone 513-255-7477) provided the technical direction for the Air Force portion of the program.

The project was conducted at Pratt & Whitney Canada Inc., under the direction of W.K. Crawford/C. Brownridge Program Managers, R. Kovacik Principal Investigator, and Test Engineers H. Powell, J. Crockett, G. Rutkowski, and D. Koroyannakis. The efforts of J. Pennycook and J. Spedaliere for typing of the text and J. Robshaw for preparing the figures presented in this report are gratefully acknowledged.

Appreciation is extended to the following Pratt & Whitney Aircraft personnel of East Hartford who contributed to the writing of this report, coordinated by P.F. Brown.

Robert A. Mattern, Jr., under the direction of Frederick E. Dauser, Jr., conducted the statistical analysis and Michael J. Carrano with John D. Robinson evaluated the applicability of the statistical analysis results. For correlation with TRIBO 1 Ming L. Shen reviewed the wear model in the context of the 60 mm bearing test program results.

Acknowledgement is also accorded the bearing manufacturing companies that supplied the experimental hardware for this program; FAG Bearings Corporation 8720 Schweinfurt, Germany for the initial bearings (ESK 9244-01 to -11) and Split Ball Bearing Division of MPB Corporation Lebanon, New Hampshire for the additional test bearings (ESK 9785-01 to -04).

Dist	Special
A-1	

Codes

for

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>SECTION</u>		<u>PAGE</u>
1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Background	1
2.0	EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM DESCRIPTION	8
2.1	Objective	8
2.2	Test Program Outline	9
2.3	Design and Manufacturing Variables	9
2.3.1	Test Bearing	11
2.4	Bearing Configuration	14
2.4.1	Geometric Representation	14
2.4.2	Bearing General Description	14
2.5	Test Rig	18
2.5.1	Test Section	18
2.5.2	Drive Section	20
2.5.3	Lubrication System	20
2.5.4	Instrumentation	23

## TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT'D)

<u>SECTION</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
2.6 Test Procedure	33
2.7 Measurements	37
2.7.1 Roller Geometric Measurements and Unbalance	37
2.7.2 Epicyclic Motion	39
2.7.3 Roller Spin Speed	39
2.7.4 Lubrication Effectiveness	41
2.7.4.1 Oil Scoop Efficiency	41
2.7.4.2 Under-Race vs Side Jet	41
3.0 TEST RESULTS	42
3.1 ESK 9244-11	42
3.1.1 Roller Weight and Skew Angle Change	42
3.1.2 Oil Temperature Change	44
3.1.3 Bearing Outer Ring Temperature	49
3.1.4 Bearing Oil Flow Rates	49
3.1.5 Bearing Cage Speed	52
3.1.6 Bearing Roller Spin Speed	54



## TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT'D)

<u>SECTION</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
3.2 ESK 9244-03	57
3.2.1 Roller Weight and Skew Angle	57
3.2.2 Oil Temperature Changes	58
3.2.3 Bearing Outer Ring Temperature	60
3.2.4 Bearing Cage Speed	62
3.2.5 Roller Spin Speed	62
3.3 ESK 9244-10	63
3.3.1 Roller Weight and Skew Angle Change	63
3.4 ESK 9244-09	64
3.4.1 Roller Weight and Skew Angle Change	64
3.4.2 Bearing Cage Speed	66
3.5 ESK 9244-09A	68
3.5.1 Roller Weight and Skew Angle Change	69

## TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT'D)

<u>SECTION</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
3.6 ESK 9244-07	69
3.6.1 Roller Weight and Skew Angle Changes	76
3.7 Bearings Not Tested	77
3.8 Load Bearing Lubrication	78
4.0 ANALYSIS OF ROLLER END WEAR DATA	78
4.1 Summary	78
4.2 Statistical Analysis of Wear Data	80
4.2.1 Multivariate Linear Regression Without Bearing No. 7	88
4.2.2 Multivariate Linear Regression With Bearing No. 7	89
4.3 TRIBO 1 and the Wear Model	90
5.0 CONCLUSIONS	105
REFERENCES	106

## LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

<u>FIGURE</u>		<u>PAGE</u>
1	Trend Towards Increased $\text{dn}$ Values for Mainshafts Bearings	2
2	Cage Fracture in Turbofan Engine	4
3	Roller Eccentric End Wear	5
4	Roller Force and Moment System	6
5a	3.0 Mdn Program Test Matrix (Original)	12
5b	3.0 Mdn Program Test Matrix (Modified)	13
6	Test Bearing 60 mm Bore	17
7a	Test Section	19
7b	Test Section Shaft Modification	21
7c	Test and Drive Section Assembly	22
8	Lubrication Schematic	24
9	Cage Contrast for Fibre Optics	31
10	Roller Magnetization	32

**LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (CONT'D)**

<u>FIGURE</u>		<u>PAGE</u>
11	Skew Angle Fixture	38
12	Roller Dynamic Unbalance	40
13	Oil Temperature Increase Through Bearing vs Shaft Speed (ESK 9244-11)	46
14	Oil Temperature Change Through Test Section vs Shaft Speed (ESK 9244-11)	48
15	Test Bearing Outer Ring Temperature vs Shaft Speed (ESK 9244-11)	50
16	Axial Oil Scoop Efficiency vs Shaft Speed (ESK 9244-11)	51
17	Bearing Cage Speed vs Shaft Speed (ESK 9244-11)	53
18	Roller Spin Speed vs Azimuth Positions (100 lb Radial Load) (ESK 9244-11)	55
19	Roller Spin Speed vs Azimuth Positions (500 lb Radial Load) (ESK 9244-11)	56
20	Oil Temperature Increase Through Bearing vs Shaft Speed (ESK 9244-03)	59

# **LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (CONT'D)**

<u>FIGURE</u>		<u>PAGE</u>
21	Test Bearing Outer Ring Temperature vs Shaft Speed (ESK 9244-03)	61
22	Skew Angle Increase vs Operating Time	65
23	Bearing Cage Speed vs Shaft Speed (ESK 9244-09)	67
24a	Test Bearing ESK 9244-07 Cage Fracture (Outer Ring Removed, Roller Removed)	70
24b	Test Bearing ESK 9244-07 Cage Fracture (Closeup of Cage Fracture)	71
24c	Test Bearing ESK 9244-07 Cage Fracture (Cage and Rollers Disassembled)	72
24d	Test Bearing ESK 9244-07 Cage Fracture (Closeup of Roller 21 Cylindrical Surface)	73
24e	Test Bearing ESK 9244-07 Cage Fracture (Closeup of Roller 21 End Surface)	74
25	Load Bearing Outer Ring Temperature vs Shaft Speed (For Side and Under Race Lubrication)	79

# **LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (CONT'D)**

<u>FIGURE</u>		<u>PAGE</u>
26	Average Weight Loss vs Roller End Squareness	95
27	Average Skew Angle Change vs Roller End Squareness	96
28	Average Skew Angle Change vs Initial Roller Dynamic Unbalance (At High and Low Inner Race Taper)	97
29	Average Weight Loss vs Roller Corner Radius Runout	98
30	Average Weight Loss vs Roller End Clearance	99
31	Average Weight Loss vs Initial Roller Dynamic Unbalance	100
32	Average Skew Angle Change vs Roller Corner Radius Runout	101
33	Average Skew Angle Change vs Roller End Clearance	102
34	Average Skew Angle Change vs Initial Roller Dynamic Unbalance	103
35	TRIBO 1 Modular Program Construction	104

## LIST OF TABLES

<u>TABLE</u>		<u>PAGE</u>
1	Relative Ranking of Roller Bearing Variables	10
2a	3.0 Mdn Program Specified Test Bearing Dimensions	15
2b	3.0 Mdn Program Supplied Test Bearing Dimensions	16
3	ADR Hook-Up	28
4	Tape Recorder Hook-Up	30
5	Test Schedule	34
6	Test Bearing Weight and Skew Angle Change	43
7	Initial Roller Dynamic Unbalance	45
8	Recommended Design Experiment Matrix	81
9	Relative Wear Ranking (Within Matrix)	82
10	Actual Bearing Dimensions	83


## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Background

The design and development of advanced aircraft turbine engines with higher thrust to weight ratios and improved efficiency in the form of increased thrust specific fuel consumption is being achieved by an increase in rotor speeds. In order to reduce overall engine weight and minimize disruption in the gas path by the introduction of struts and vanes, bearing supports have increasingly become more flexible while shaft diameters have increased to provide high bending and torsional stiffness. These factors have combined to generate bearings with increased  $dn$  numbers (bearing bore in millimeters times shaft speed in revolutions per minute) while allowing for greater unit misalignment capabilities. As indicated in Figure 1, it is anticipated that speed levels to 3.0 Mdn (million DN) will be required by mid 1990s engine design.

The influence of geometric variations on roller dynamics is increased at higher DN levels, and in many cases it has been the performance of the roller bearing which has compromised engine design. It is therefore imperative that all aspects of roller bearing geometric design be analysed as to their effect upon bearing performance. Field data accumulated has indicated that bearing performance is very sensitive to rolling element instabilities. This fact has been exemplified in the





**FIGURE 1 TREND TOWARDS INCREASED DN VALUES FOR MAINSHAFT BEARINGS**

occurrences of roller bearing cage fractures that have taken place in a turbofan engine compressor rotor system. The nature of this fracture is graphically presented in figure 2. These instabilities are exacerbated in high DN bearings and can cause roller skewing. This failure mode is precipitated by the rapid eccentric wear on the end surface of the rolling element or elements shown in figure 3.

Roller skidding by definition occurs when the rolling elements orbit the bearing at a speed below epicyclic. It is believed that skidding is associated with light radial loading at high shaft speeds. The resultant damage is limited to the contact surfaces. The mechanism for skid control is readily accessible in the application of increased bearing loading. This increase is most usually achieved by supplementing the bearing external load with an internal preload design.

There are, of course, numerous possible influences on rollers, most of which are inter-dependent to some extent as indicated in figure 4. Of these numerous parameters, the testing was restricted to those which were concluded by P&WA (see ref. 1) testing to be most significant.

An equally significant outcome of this testing was to be the correlation of 60 mm (bore) bearing data with that undertaken by P&WA in their bearing test program on 124 mm bearings (see ref. 1). There has been, to date, a necessary assumption that the influence of bearing geometric irregularities are of equal significance irrespective of





FIGURE 2 CAGE FRACTURE IN  
TURBOFAN ENGINE



FIGURE 3 ROLLER ECCENTRIC  
END WEAR



**FIGURE 4 ROLLER FORCE AND MOMENT SYSTEM**

bearing size. The conclusions resulting from this test program could therefore facilitate the inclusion of a bearing scaling factor which would be incorporated within the bearing analysis programs currently being used.

In summary, therefore, the motivation for this study was the consistent increase in bearing DN values, and the associated influence of roller skewing and skidding upon bearing failure. Furthermore, there exists the need to establish the effect of bearing design and manufacturing runout tolerances.

## 2.0 EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

### 2.1 Objective

It is the primary objective of this program to investigate the phenomenon of eccentric roller end wear in small diameter (40 to 60 mm bore), high speed (up to 3.0 Mdn) roller bearings.

The program is designed to investigate the relative influence of a number of design and manufacturing variables on roller end wear to permit ranking of these variables in order of importance. It is further intended that the program provide information relating to the accuracy of extrapolation of conclusions (reached in an earlier program conducted by Pratt & Whitney Aircraft (Ref. 1)) from 124 mm bearings to 40-60 mm bearings. Should the findings show that small diameter bearings manifest different behaviour than do large bearings, it is a further objective to modify the computer analysis developed by PWA (TR1B0-1) and currently installed at Wright-Patterson AFB to provide the appropriate corrections to make it applicable to small diameter bearings.

Secondary objectives were to measure various roller bearing performance characteristics such as cage speed, heat generation, and efficiency of the lubricant delivery system.

## 2.2 Test Program Outline

The test program undertaken to attain the objective was composed of two major parts: a series of 10-hour tests on bearings manufactured with controlled levels of manufacturing and design variables at speeds up to 50,000 RPM (3.0 Mdn) and two 60-hour demonstration endurance tests on prototype bearings designed using knowledge gained from the first part of the program. The endurance tests were to be conducted on both 60 mm and 40 mm bore diameter bearings. The latter test was to demonstrate scalability of conclusions reached on the 60 mm tests to 40 mm. In an attempt to avoid bias in the ranking of variables, the test bearing set was designed using the partial factorial statistically designed experiment approach.

## 2.3 Design and Manufacturing Variables

Roller bearing parameters which are considered influential to skewing are presented in Table 1<sup>1</sup>. The basic categories considered were design geometries, manufacturing tolerances and quality control variables. Based on experience gained from the P&WA test program, it was decided that 7 parameters would be considered (See Table 2). It is apparent that six (6) of the seven (7) parameters included were chosen from category I. An additional parameter of guide flange designs based upon a curvature radius of 2.0 inches was introduced from category II. The parameter of roller length variation, although presented in category III,

<sup>1</sup> 1. REPRODUCED FROM REFERENCE 1.



TABLE 1 RELATIVE RANKING OF ROLLER BEARING VARIABLES

Roller Bearing Variable	Possible Effect of Variation	Rationale
CATEGORY I		
Roller Length Diameter Ratio	Uneven roller end wear	Alters roller element gyroscopic action; stability achieved by L/D ratio of 0.87
Roller Unbalance (unbalanced mass material in roller end runout crown radius runout)	Uneven roller end wear	Alters skewing forces as rollers impact guide flanges
Profile	Uneven roller end wear	Roller element skids or slips "out of gear" due to insufficient loading
Cage Clearance	Local cage bore wear for inner and guided cage end wear adjacent rollers and skidding damage	Roll causes local overheating and decreases effectiveness of oil film
Roller Flat Centrality	Uneven roller end wear	Alters tractive force profile of roller as well as stability about transverse axis due to unsymmetrical roller mass distribution
Roller End Circular Runout	Uneven roller end wear	Affects roller stability about transverse axis and modifies roller end face contact stress
Roller End Shape	Uneven and concentric roller end wear	Alters lubrication film and roller end contact stresses
Raceway Taper	Concentric roller end wear	Alters distribution of roller tractive forces
Roller End Clearance	Uneven roller end wear	Alters maximum roller skewing angle thus changing end face contact forces
Roller Diameter Variation	Uneven roller end wear roller skid damage	Alters maximum roller skewing angle alters roller tractive forces
Lubrication	Uneven and concentric roller end wear roller skid damage cage wear	Alters effective oil film thickness
Flange Height	Uneven and concentric roller end wear	Alters roller skewing angle and roller end contact stress and location
Raceway Angular Misalignment	Concentric roller end wear reduced fatigue life	Alters roller tractive forces and distribution alters roller load profile
Inner Race Runout (circular and profile)	Fatigue life and roller end wear	Alters roller load profile, tractive forces and skewing moment
CATEGORY II		
Inner Ring Taper (base)	Concentric roller end wear	Reduction in roller end clearance due to guide flange deflection alters oil film thickness and roller end contact profile

TABLE 1 RELATIVE RANKING OF ROLLER BEARING VARIABLES (Continued)

Roller Bearing Variable	Possible Effect of Variation	Rationale
Flange Face Runout	Uneven roller end wear	Alters maximum roller skewing angle and dynamic end face loads
Roller Crown Radius	Fatigue life and concentric roller end wear	Alters roller load profile and tractive forces and skewing moment
Extended Roller Flat Length	Fatigue life and uniform end wear	Alters roller load profile, tractive forces and skewing moment
Flange Face Waviness	Uneven roller end wear	Alters maximum roller skewing angle and dynamic end face loads
Flange Layback Angle	Uneven roller end wear concentric roller end wear	Alters maximum roller skewing angle of film thickness and location of end face contact area
Roller Cage Pocket Clearance	Uneven roller end wear	Affects transmission of any cage in stability altering roller to guide flange contact loads and tractive forces
Flange Contour	Uneven roller end wear concentric roller end wear	Alters maximum roller skewing angle of film thickness and roller end contact area and location
CATEGORY III		
Roller Length Variation	Uneven roller end wear	Alters maximum roller skewing angle
Cage Pocket Offset	Not apparent unless extreme causing uneven roller end wear	Alters resultant skewing force of roller element
Roller End Surface Finish	Uneven and concentric roller end wear	Alters oil film thickness requirements at roller ends
Flange Surface Finish	Uneven and concentric roller end wear	Alters oil film thickness requirements at roller ends
Raceway Surface Finish	Skid damage	Alters roller tractive forces and oil film thickness requirements at roller raceway contacts
Cage Pocket Squeerness	Concentric roller end wear	Alters resultant skewing force acting on roller element
Raceway Waviness	Skid damage	Imparts dynamic loads on roller affecting variations in tractive forces and oil film thickness requirements at contacts
Roller Taper	Uneven roller end wear	Alters tractive force profile of rollers as well as roller stability about transverse axis due to uninstrumental mass distribution

is included in the length to diameter ratio of category I (since all rollers are standardized at .2756 in (7 mm)).

The relative magnitude of the original test bearing parameters proposed is presented in Figure 5A. The actual relative values of parameters peculiar to each bearing which was to be tested are presented in the matrix of figure 5B. Test bearings prefixed by ESK 9785 were additional bearings which would be required to separate the test parameters of "roller end circular runout", "corner radius runout" and "roller crown drop runout". That is, as a result of manufacturing limitations, the original bearings (prefixed by ESK 9244) did not adequately differentiate these parameters with respect to the tolerance ranges. In addition, continued difficulties in producing a roller with a high "roller crown drop runout" necessitated the removal of this parameter from the test list.

The combinations of the variables among the test bearings is indicated by two levels of parameter variation and are represented by "H" (High) and "L" (Low) respectively, with the horizontal axis representing bearing design consideration while the vertical axis represents manufacturing tolerance controls.

2.3.1 Test Bearing Table 2a represents the original tolerance requirements. The values used with this table have been established by analytical designs and

ROLL CORNER RAD R/O				ROLL END CLRNC.				GUIDE FLANGE HT.				INNER RACE TAPER				L (TAPER)				H (RAD)				FLANGE CONTOUR																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																									
L				H				L				H				L				H				ROLL END CIR R/O																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																									
L	H	L	H	L	H	L	H	L	H	L	H	L	H	L	H	L	H	L	H	L	H	L	H	L	H	L	H	CROWN DROP R/O																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																					
L	H	L	H	L	H	L	H	L	H	L	H	L	H	L	H	L	H	L	H	L	H	L	H	L	H	L	H	ROLLER L/D																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																					
<table><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td>&lt;</tr></table>																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																	

ROLL CORNER RAD R/O		ROLL END CLRNC.		GUIDE FLANGE HT.		INNER RACE TAPER					
L (TAPER)				H (RAD)				FLANGE CONTOUR			
L		H		L		H		ROLL END CIR R/O			
L	H	L	H	L	H	L	H	CROWN DROP R/O			
L	H	L	H	L	H	L	H	L	H	ROLLER L/D	

**FIGURE 5B: 3.0 MDN PROGRAM  
TEST MATRIX (MODIFIED)**

manufacturing or production experience. In the case of a particular parameter which was not to be considered within any test bearing, that parameter value was kept at the level of the baseline bearing, i.e., ESK 9244-11. The actual levels of bearing parameters referenced in figure 5B are presented in table 2B with the unshaded boxes highlighting the deviations.

## 2.4 Bearing Configuration

2.4.1 Geometric Representation At the conceptual stage of this program, it was decided that the bearing configuration chosen should not only compliment the P&WA work on 124 mm bearings, but should reflect the design and operating environment peculiar to small engines.

The bearing size selected for the test program was based upon a bearing located on the compressor rotor of a modern turbofan engine.

2.4.2 Bearing General Description The basic roller bearing selected for the test program is shown in Figure 6. The bearing is a 24 roller, under-race lubricated bearing with a 60 mm bore diameter. The initial bearings prefixed by ESK 9244 were manufactured by "FAG" of Schweinfurt Germany, from M-50 (AMS 6490) steel in accordance with (Canadian Pratt & Whitney company specification) CPW 378 Specifications. The additional bearings (prefixed by ESK 9785) were manufactured by "Split Ball Bearing" of Lebanon, New Hampshire. For the purpose of this test

TABLE 2a 3 MON PROGRAM-SPECIFIED TEST BEARING DIMENSIONS

CUSTOMER	CORNER RAD.	END SQUARE	CROWN DROP	ROLLER	ROLLER END	INNER	LAND RIM	GUIDE
	RUNOUT	RUNOUT	RUNOUT	LENGTH	CLEARANCE	RING	DIA. MIN.	FLANGE
PART NO.	in mm	in mm	in mm	in	in	RACEWAY	in (mm)	STYLE
ESK 9244-01	.0002   0.005   .00006   max.	.0015   .0020   .0015   max.	.0051   .0038   .0015   max.	.3150   8	.0016   0.040   .0008   0.020	0.75'   max.	2.698   (68.53)	2
ESK 9244-02	.0002   0.005   .0002   max.	.0005   .0001   .0001   max.	.0025   .0025   .0025   max.	.3150   8	.0016   0.040   .0008   0.020	4.50'   3.25'	2.698   (68.53)	1
ESK 9244-03	.0002   0.005   .0002   max.	.0005   .0002   .0005   min.	.0051   .0038   .0015   max.	.2362   6	.0016   0.040   .0008   0.020	0.75'   max.	2.753   (69.93)	1
ESK 9244-04	.0002   0.005   .0002   max.	.0005   .0002   .0005   min.	.0051   .0038   .0015   max.	.3150   8	.0035   0.089   .0027   0.069	0.75'   max.	2.698   (68.53)	1
ESK 9244-05	.0002   0.005   .00006   max.	.0015   .0001   .0015   max.	.0025   .0025   .0025   max.	.2362   6	.0035   0.089   .0027   0.069	4.50'   3.25'	2.753   (69.93)	2
ESK 9244-06	.0015   0.038   .00006   max.	.0015   .0001   .0015   max.	.0025   .0025   .0025   max.	.2362   6	.0016   0.040   .0008   0.020	0.75'   max.	2.698   (68.53)	1
ESK 9244-07	.0015   0.038   .0002   min.	.0005   .0002   .0005   min.	.0051   .0038   .0015   max.	.3150   8	.0016   0.040   .0008   0.020	4.50'   3.25'	2.753   (69.93)	2
ESK 9244-08	.0015   0.038   .0002   min.	.0005   .0002   .0005   min.	.0051   .0038   .0015   max.	.2362   6	.0035   0.089   .0027   0.069	4.50'   3.25'	2.698   (68.53)	2
ESK 9244-09	.0015   0.038   .0002   min.	.0005   .0002   .0005   min.	.0051   .0038   .0015   max.	.3150   8	.0035   0.089   .0027   0.069	0.75'   max.	2.753   (69.93)	2
ESK 9244-10	.0015   0.038   .00006   max.	.0015   .0001   .0015   max.	.0025   .0025   .0025   max.	.3150   8	.0035   0.089   .0027   0.069	4.50'   3.25'	2.753   (69.93)	1
ESK 9244-11	.0002   0.005   .0001   max.	.0025   .0001   .0025   max.	.0025   .0001   .0025   max.	.3150   8	.0016   0.040   .0008   0.020	0.75'   max.	2.720   (69.09)	1

GUIDE FLANGE STYLE  
1/ TAPERED 15'-40'  
2/ RADIUS 2.00IN R.

TABLE 2b 3 MDN PROGRAM-SUPPLIED TEST BEARING DIMENSIONS

TEST BEARING PART NO.	CORNER RAD. RUNOUT	END SQUARE RUNOUT	CROWN DROP RUNOUT	ROLLER LENGTH	ROLLER END CLEARANCE	IRREP RING RACEWAY TAPER	LAND RIM DIA. MIN.	GUIDE FLANGE STYLE
	in	mm	in	mm	in	mm	in (mm)	
ESK 9244-01	.0002 max.	.0005 max.	.0015 max.	.0006 max.	.00152 max.	.3150 max.	.0016 max.	2
							.040 max.	
ESK 9244-02	.0002 max.	.0005 max.	.0025 max.	.0001 max.	.0025 max.	.3150 max.	.0016 max.	1
							.040 max.	
ESK 9244-03	.0002 max.	.0005 max.	.0025 max.	.0004 max.	.00102 max.	.2362 max.	.0016 max.	1
							.040 max.	
ESK 9244-04	.0002 max.	.0005 max.	.0025 max.	.0004 max.	.00102 max.	.3150 max.	.0035 max.	1
							.089 max.	
ESK 9244-05	.0002 max.	.0005 max.	.0015 max.	.0001 max.	.0025 max.	.2362 max.	.0035 max.	2
							.089 max.	
ESK 9244-06	.0015 min.	.0038 min.	.0051 min.	.0001 max.	.0025 max.	.2362 max.	.0016 max.	1
							.040 max.	
ESK 9244-07	.0015 min.	.0038 min.	.0005 min.	.0006 max.	.00153 max.	.3150 max.	.0016 max.	2
							.040 max.	
ESK 9244-08	.0015 min.	.0038 min.	.0005 min.	.0008 max.	.00203 max.	.2362 max.	.0035 max.	2
							.089 max.	
ESK 9244-09	.0015 min.	.0038 min.	.0005 min.	.0001 max.	.0025 max.	.3150 max.	.0035 max.	2
							.089 max.	
ESK 9244-10	.0015 min.	.0038 min.	.0051 min.	.0006 max.	.00152 max.	.3150 max.	.0035 max.	1
							.089 max.	
ESK 9244-11	.0002 max.	.0005 max.	.0025 max.	.0001 max.	.0025 max.	.3150 max.	.0016 max.	1
							.040 max.	
ESK 9785-01	.0015 min.	.0038 min.	.0015 max.	.0006 max.	.00152 max.	.3150 max.	.0035 max.	2
							.089 max.	
ESK 9785-02	.0002 max.	.0005 max.	.0005 min.	.0005 max.	.0025 max.	.2362 max.	.0035 max.	2
							.089 max.	
ESK 9785-03	.0015 min.	.0038 min.	.0015 max.	.0006 max.	.00152 max.	.2362 max.	.0016 max.	1
							.040 max.	
ESK 9785-04	.0015 min.	.0038 min.	.0005 min.	.0005 max.	.0025 max.	.2362 max.	.0016 max.	1
							.040 max.	

GUIDE FLANGE STYLE

1/ TAPERED 15°- 40°

2/ RADIUS 2.00IN R.



FIGURE 6 TEST BEARING  
60mm BORE



program, a total of 17 bearings were manufactured. The bearing inner ring provides for under race lubrication by 12 under race oil slots leading to radial oil holes supplying equally the ring raceway as well as the cage land diameters. The bearing outer ring is of a flanged design provided with four clearance bolt mounting holes. A total of 24 rollers were used to compliment a bearing set. Each roller was identified on one end face by a number from 1 to 24 and were located in a sequential order within the cage pockets. The cage was constructed without the roller retention lugs in order to facilitate inspection of individual rollers. In all other respects, the bearing cage is similar to any standard inner land riding retainer employed within P&WC.

## 2.5 Test Rig

2.5.1 Test Section The rig test section is shown in figure 7a and is essentially separated into two major compartments; the slave bearing section and the test bearing section. The test bearing section is further subdivided into the other compartments; one to receive oil that is spilled or rejected at the test bearing axial scoops and the other compartment receiving oil which has passed through the test bearing. A flexible diaphragm issued to isolate interaction with the drive system and the shaft is supported by two bearings, one of which is the test bearing. Radial loading is applied through a double set of roller bearings while the shaft axial location as well as radial load reaction is accomplished with a Conrad bearing. During initial rig operation, reliability problems developed with




FIGURE 7a TEST SECTION

the load bearing, which required redesign action. Figure 7b depicts the modifications made to the test section shaft to provide increased stability to the load bearings, as well as the introduction of under race lubrication to the load bearings.

Note that prior to the modifications to the test section shaft, as shown in figure 7b, the test bearing radial loads were 100 lb, 300 lb and 500 lb rather than 85 lb, 250 lb and 400 lb respectively (see table 5). The revised loadings were introduced (after testing of ESK 9244-11) to maintain the radial load at the Conrad ball bearing to pre-test section shaft modification levels.

2.5.2 Drive Section The test section was driven through a flexible diaphragm coupling manufactured by Bendix and was used to isolate any possibility of bearing loading which could have been introduced to the test section by misalignment with the drive system. The power was supplied by a 300 hp AC electric motor (Canadian General Electric Model No. 142962) operating at the design speed of 3,560 rpm. The test section maximum operating speed of 50,000 rpm was achieved through two General Electric speed increase gear boxes (S231-X Low Speed, S231-AZ-1 high speed). The speed control was by an eddy current coupling (Dynamic Corp. Model No. WCS 216B), connected to the electric motor, the maximum speed rating of the coupling is 3,600 rpm. The test section and drive are schematically represented in Figure 7c.

2.5.3 Lubrication System Load bearing lubrication was initially achieved by side jetting, however as the test program proceeded, it became apparent that an under race oil




FIGURE 7b      TEST SECTION SHAFT MODIFICATION (TO PROVIDE  
 UNDER RACE LUBRICATION TO LOAD BEARING)





FIGURE 7c TEST AND DRIVE SECTION ASSEMBLY

scheme would be required to control inner race temperatures. The final lubrication configuration, in schematic form, is shown in figure 8. In this design, it was possible to continually control the flowrates to the test and load bearings. The oil was introduced to the load bearings through the shaft bore (see figure 7b) while the test bearing lubrication was by an axial oil scoop. The lubrication to the ball bearing by side jetting was maintained. The test bearing compartment was segregated from the remainder of the test section. This compartment was divided further to separate the oil flows that passed through the test bearing to that rejected or spilled at the axial oil scoop. The electrical oil pump system was backed up by a pneumatic system which would be automatically initiated in the event of a failure in the primary lubrication system.

2.5.4 Instrumentation The instrumentation employed to monitor the bearing test was separated into two categories, one set was to record the performance of the test section which was subdivided into the test bearing and slave bearing operational conditions. The other set of instrumentation was to monitor the performance of the test section drive assembly parameters. Temperature measurements were made using Type T (copper/constantan) thermocouples while accelerometer readings were made with B+K accelerometers. All pressures were recorded on bourdon type gauges.



**P** - PRESSURE GAUGE

**T** - THERMOCOUPLE

ALL FLOWS AT 80 PSI

**FIGURE 8**

# **LUBRICATION SCHEMATIC**

The test drive parameters consisted of temperature measurements at the eddy current coupling cooling water exit. Another set of thermocouples were used to measure the temperature of the bearing pads in the output of the high speed gearbox. Additional thermocouples and pressure gauges recorded temperatures and pressures of the drive system lubricant. The test section drive speed was monitored at the output of the high speed gearbox while circuit breakers provided additional safeguards for the electric motor and eddy current coupling.

In the case of the test section, all of the bearing outer raceway temperatures were measured at two azimuth locations. Initial attempts to record inner ring temperatures at the test roller bearing were abandoned when the telemetry system employed proved unreliable. With the introduction of under race lubrication at the load bearings through the bore of the shaft, (required for improved bearing reliability) measurement of inner ring temperatures by a slip ring also became impractical. The oil tank temperature was monitored as was the oil temperature at the jets to the test bearing. Oil scavenge temperature for both the oil passing through the test bearing and the oil rejected at the oil scoop were measured. A chip detector was located in the oil scavenge lines for both the test bearing oil scavenge and the oil returning from the load and support bearings.



B&K Accelerometers were installed in the test section structure to detect radial accelerations at the test bearing housing in both the horizontal and vertical directions. In the loading arm an axial accelerometer was included in addition to the radial gauges in the horizontal and vertical directions.

The test bearing radial load was applied by reacting the force applied at the load bearings by means of the pneumatic piston. Control was by the adjustment of a pressure regulating valve to control the air pressure. The test shaft rotational speed was measured by utilizing a Bentley proximity probe to record the passing frequency of a toothed wheel on the high speed gearbox output shaft. Oil temperature was maintained by a Johnsons controller regulating the flow of oil through the heat exchanger. Oil heating was by five electric heaters (Chromolox 3 Kw) installed in the oil tank.

Initially, all of the above parameters were monitored manually. However after the first test run it became apparent that a form of automatic data recording (ADR) would be required. It was also decided that limit values on the parameters monitored must be included. These would provide automatic rig shutdown should any of the preset limits on a specified parameter be exceeded. The automatic data recording system installed was an "Autodata Eight" with 36 channels programmed to monitor the test bearing functions of

speed, outer race temperatures as well as oil inlet and outlet temperatures. The remaining channels were used to monitor additional functions concerned with the performance of the drive system. The channels and associated shutdown limits are presented in Table 3 along with their assigned functions.

In addition to the ADP unit, an eight-channel tape recorder was employed during the testing (see Table 4 for channel definition). The tape recorder was an SE LAB model with a frequency response limit of 10 KHz and was therefore capable of recording any of the frequencies which were associated with the bearing performance. Indeed, the highest frequencies which would be encountered were those of roller spin speed and with a theoretical frequency of approximately 3 KHz the signal was well within the range of the unit employed. The test bearing cage speed was measured using fibre optics. In this arrangement, the end face of the cage was tarnished black, using a sodium sulfide solution, over 180 degrees of the circumference as shown in Figure 9. The optically contrasting surface was transformed into an electrical output which produced a square wave signal. By reducing the data onto a time function output, the cage rotational frequency could be established. The roller spin speed was obtained by magnetizing one roller element across its diameter so that each end face contained both a north and south pole, as is shown in Figure 10. Two coils mounted on the rig 180 degrees apart but adjacent to

**TABLE 3**  
**ADR HOOK-UP**

<u>ADR CHANNEL</u>	<u>LIMIT</u>	<u>PARAMETER</u>	<u>UNIT</u>
000	275	Scoop Oil Inlet Temp.	°F
001	350	Scoop Oil Reject Temp.	°F
004	350	Oil Outlet, Test Rear	°F
005	350	Oil Outlet, Test Front	°F
006	350	Oil Outlet Supp. Brg.	°F
007	275	Oil Inlet Test Pump	°F
010	350	Test Brg. Outer Race T1	°F
011	350	Test Brg. Outer Race T2	°F
012	340	Load Brg. Left #1	°F
013	340	Load Brg. Left #2	°F
014	340	Load Brg. Right #1	°F
015	340	Load Brg. Right #2	°F
016	320	Supp. Brg. #1	°F
017	320	Supp. Brg. #2	°F
018	240	H/S Pinion #1 Skin Temp. Front Brg.	°F
019	240	H/S pinion #2 Skin Temp. Front Brg.	°F
020	240	H/S Pinion #3 Skin Temp. Front Brg.	°F
021	240	H/S Pinion #4 Skin Temp. Front Brg.	°F
022	240	H/S Pinion #1 Skin Temp. Back Brg.	°F
023	240	H/S Pinion #2 Skin Temp. Back Brg.	°F
024	240	H/S Pinion #3 Skin Temp. Back Brg.	°F

TABLE 3


## ADR HOOK-UP (Concluded)

<u>ADR CHANNEL</u>	<u>LIMIT</u>	<u>PARAMETER</u>	<u>UNIT</u>
025	240	H/S Pinion #4 Skin Temp. Back Brg.	°F
026	350	H/S Gearbox Brg. #1	°F
027	350	H/S Gearbox Brg. #2	°F
028	350	H/S Gearbox Brg. #3	°F
029	350	H/S Gearbox Brg. #4	°F
040	425	Test Brg. Vert. (Left)	°F
041	425	Test Brg. Horiz. (Right)	°F
042	340	Load Brg. Vert.	°F
043	200	Load Brg. Horiz.	°F
044	200	Load Brg. Axial	°F
045	200	Supp. Brg.	°F
049	10V	Load Brg. Oil Flow	#/min
050	10V	Shaft Speed	rpm
051	0-110	Test Brg. Oil Pressure	psig
052	0-100	Load Brg. Oil Pressure	psig

**TABLE 4**

**TAPE RECORDER HOOK-UP**

<u>CHANNEL</u>	<u>LIMIT</u>	<u>FUNCTION</u>
1	10 KHz	a) To be used for load bearing roller passing frequency with ability to switch from load brg. A to B.  b) To be able to superimpose voice on this channel.
2	1 KHz	To be used for shaft speed recording.
3	1 KHz	To be used for fibre optics recording on test bearing.
4	5 KHz	To be used for roller spin recording on test bearing.
5	10 KHz 450g OA (AA)	To be used for accelerometer at test bearing 1 off radial.
6	10 KHz 350g OA (AA)	To be used for accelerometer at load bearing 1 off radial.
7	10 KHz 200g OA (AA)	To be used for accelerometer at load bearing 1 off axial.
8	10 KHz 200g OA (AA)	To be used for accelerometer at support bearing 1 off radial.



REFERENCE ROLLER BEARING ESK 9244

NOTE

1. 10% SODIUM SULFIDE TREATMENT TO CAGE SIDE RAIL ONLY  
i.e., CAGE POCKET, INNER CIRCUMFERENCE, AND OUTER  
CIRCUMFERENCE TO BE FREE OF SILVER SULFIDE
2. SILVER SULFIDE TO STOP AT CROSS RAIL NEAREST LAST  
ALPHA-NUMERIC CHARACTER OF CAGE SERIAL NUMBER
3. TO BE APPLIED ON S/N SIDE OF CAGE

**FIGURE 9 CAGE CONTRAST FOR FIBRE OPTICS**




FIGURE 10 ROLLER MAGNETIZATION

the shaft, would respond to the alternating north, south field produced as the roller rotated about its own axis. This roller was placed in the cage pocket adjacent to the location of the change in contrast on the cage end face. This position in the cage along with the orientation of the fibre optics probe in relation to the radial loading directions on the bearing would permit correlation of the roller azimuth position. By simultaneously triggering the cage and roller signal on a time trace output, the frequency of the roller spin about its own axis at any azimuth position could be established. This would permit correlation between roller spin speed and the azimuth location in relation to the radial load zone to be established.

## 2.6 TEST PROCEDURE

The test was conducted according to the schedule shown in Table 5, with a total duration of 10 hours per bearing. The test sequence consisted of three major components: initial calibration, steady state endurance operation and cyclic endurance.

The calibration run was conducted over the rotor speed range of 16,666 rpm to 50,000 rpm which corresponds to DN values from 1 MDN to 3 MDN (for the 60 mm test bearing employed). The schedule was further refined to evaluate three oil jet flow rates; the first being at 10 lb/min,



TABLE 5 TEST SCHEDULE

OIL SUPPLY		LOAD	LOAD	PSIG	BEARING SPEED (rpm)				BEARING DN/TEST POINT NO.		TEST HOURS
UNDER RACE lb/min	TEMP deg. f	TEST BRG	REF LOAD DRG		16666	33333	41666	45933	48333	50000	
					1.0	2.0	2.5	2.75	2.9	3.0	
		85	150	8.0	1	4	7	10	13	16	
10	225	250	443	23.5	2	5	8	11	14	17	
		400	710	8.0	3	6	9	12	15	18	
5	225	85	15	8.0	19	20	21	22	23	24	
15	250	85	150	8.0	25	26	27	28	29	30	
10	225	85	150	8.0	endurance at 3M dn						4
10	225	85	150	8.0	2.0 -----> 2.75M 						

\* not including typical rotor unbalance of 0.015 oz-in which generates 66 lb at 3.0M dn

which included three radial loadings of 85 lb, 250 lb and 400 lb at the test bearing. The remaining two oil jet flows of 5 lb/min and 15 lb/min complimented only the one bearing loading of 85 lb. This entire calibration schedule comprised 30 individual test points of 10 minutes each. At the end of each individual test point, the pertinent parameters of bearing outer raceway temperatures, oil inlet and outlet temperatures were recorded. Oil flow rates through the oil scoop were measured during the speed steps for each of the oil jet flow rates.

Steady state bearing operation was conducted at a rotor speed of 50,000 rpm corresponding to 3 MDN. The test bearing radial load of 85 lb was also maintained constant throughout this 4-hour operation sequence as was the oil jet flow rate of 10 lb/min. The bearing parameters were recorded every half hour.

These points were only used to monitor the condition of the bearing as a safety measure to confirm its integrity throughout this sequence of the test schedule.

Cyclic rotor speeds from 33,333 rpm to 45,833 rpm provided the final stage in the test schedule. This cyclic endurance consisted of 1 hour of operation with each cycle from 2 MDN to 2.75 MDN and back to 2 MDN taking approximately 3 minutes. The oil jet flow rate was maintained at 10 lb/min and the test bearing radial loading remained constant at 85 lb. The bearing performance was monitored every quarter hour to establish the integrity of the bearing.

The bearings were tested according to the following randomly-selected sequence.

TEST BEARING	TEST COMPLETION DATE
ESK 9244-11	23/12/80
ESK 9244-03	15/04/82
ESK 9244-10	10/09/82
ESK 9244-09	28/09/82
ESK 9244-09A	21/10/82
ESK 9244-07	22/12/82
ESK 9244-02,	
ESK 9244-01,	
ESK 9244-08,	
ESK 9244-06,	
ESK 9244-09B,	
ESK 9244-04,	
ESK 9785-01,	
ESK 9785-02,	
ESK 9785-03,	
ESK 9785-04	

Test bearing 09 and 09A and 09B, were repetitions of the same predominant parameters. The consistency of the wear measurements from these three bearings would ensure that the testing procedure was not being altered.

The lubricant used for the test section was formulated according to MIL-L-7808. The initial quantity of oil was supplied by the USAF. However as testing proceeded, additional MIL-L-7808 oil was obtained from EXXON OIL.

## 2.7 Measurements


Prior to initiating the test schedule, each individual roller, which was numbered on the end face, was measured for roller skew angle, roller weight as well as roller dynamic unbalance.

### 2.7.1 Roller Geometric Measurements and Unbalance

Roller skew angles were obtained utilizing the fixtures shown in Figure 11. The individual roller was locked within the fixture using a thumb screw. The unit was then adjusted so that it was tangent with the bearing raceway at the point of roller contact with the inner raceway. The total side to side skewing motion of the roller and fixtures were measured at a known point from the roller axis. The skew angle therefore is the arc sine of one half of the total side-to-side skewing travel of the fixture.

The roller individual weights were measured using a Gramatic scale manufactured by Fisher Scientific Company. The actual model used was the Macro Gramatic catalog No. 1-910 with a capacity to 200 g. The specific performance data of this unit is a precision of  $\pm 0.03$  mg with an accuracy in the optical range of  $\pm 0.05$  mg.

The roller dynamic unbalance was obtained using a FAG balancing machine model MGR 25-4. The individual roller elements were marked with a contrasting paint over one half of the roller end face diameter. This marked end was always on the unnumbered end of the roller. The roller



**FIGURE 11 SKEW ANGLE FIXTURE**

was then spun on its axis and the unbalance associated with each end face plane was measured along with the phase angle relative to the contrasted end face marking. The unbalance measured in mg mm at each plane was then combined using the program referred to in Figure 12.

2.7.2 Epicyclic Motion In a rolling bearing, the elements orbit the bearing axis and at the same time revolve about their own axis. This orbital motion which is coincident with the bearing cage speed was therefore used to establish the epicyclic motion of the bearing. The bearing cage rotational speed was measured by using fibre optics. A two level signal was generated by light reflected off of the side rail of the cage. This bi-level light signal was the result of the difference in reflectivity of the silver and a tarnished (10% sodium sulfide) section of the cage, each consisting of 180 degree sectors of the cage circumference. The change in reflectivity was then converted to an electrical signal recorded on magnetic tape which could subsequently be plotted against a time function to provide a pulsating frequency equivalent to the cage rotational speed.

2.7.3 Roller Spin Speed To determine whether or not rollers in high speed bearings experience pure rolling on the outer race, roller spin speed was measured on several occasions. This measurement was accomplished using two roller spin coils, which are essentially spools of magnet wire and were supplied by "F.A.G.". Its operation was dependent upon the magnetically induced alternating

# EDITING MAPLEBRIE

```

1 PROGRAM
2 THIS PROGRAM DETERMINES THE RESULTING VECTOR IN AN UNBALANCED ROLLER
3 ANGLES IN DEGREES
4 S1: REQUEST R2
5 REQUEST THETA2
6 REQUEST R1
7 REQUEST R2
8 Y1=R1*SIN(THETA1)
9 X1=R1*COS(THETA1)
10 Y2=R2*SIN(THETA2)
11 X2=R2*COS(THETA2)
12 Y=Y1-Y2
13 X=X1-X2
14 R=SQRT(X**2+Y**2)
15 PRINT X
16 PRINT Y
17 PRINT R
18 REQUEST J
19 IF(J.EQ.1.)GO TO S1
20 CONTINUE
21

```

NOTE: FOR DYNAMIC UNBALANCE MULTIPLY  
R BY ONE HALF THE ROLLER LENGTH




FIGURE 12 ROLLER DYNAMIC UNBALANCE

electrical signal generated by the roller which had previously been magnetized with north and south poles across its diameter. The resulting signal was then amplified and recorded on magnetic tape for subsequent analysis.

#### 2.7.4 Lubrication Effectiveness

2.7.4.1 Oil Scoop Efficiency An investigation of bearing oil flow rates and of the axial oil scoop efficiency was carried out under constant load conditions for the three oil jet flow rates of 5, 10 and 15 lb/min. This under-race lubricated bearing was supplied with oil via a rotating annulus formed by a lip on the spanner nut communicating with the bearing through axial slots in the shaft outside diameter.

The test section (FIG 7a) was constructed such that lubricant discharged from the test bearing could be collected separately from that spilled from the axial oil scoop. Comparison of these flows permitted assessment of the efficiency of the lubricant delivery system.

2.7.4.2 Under-Race vs Side Jet Lubrication The test section load bearings were modified to provide under-race lubrication for improved bearing reliability. This modification to the oil supply system provided the means for a comparison of the cooling effectiveness of the systems.



### 3.0 TEST RESULTS

#### 3.1 ESK 9244-11

3.1.1 Roller Weight and Skew Angle Change The individual roller weights as well as the skew angles were measured and recorded before and after running the 10 hour test. These results are presented in table 6. The individual rollers show an almost negligible weight change with an average loss of .0001 gm. The result of a statistical analysis on roller weight with a 99% confidence level, was that the roller weight change is negligible.

The individual roller skew angle change was more pronounced with an average increase in angle of 2.401 minutes (.000699 radians). Since this skew angle increase is associated with an insignificant roller weight change, it may be that the skew angle increase is guide flange wear rather than roller end wear. Any guide flange wear was not visually detectable. A visual inspection of the roller end faces did not indicate any pronounced wear. What wear was present is of a concentric pattern which would tend to maintain proper roller tracking as opposed to asymmetric wear which has been associated with excessive roller skewing during running and could lead to cage side rail fracture.

TEST BEARING WEIGHT AND SKEW ANGLE CRANCES  
TABLE: 6

PRG NO	ESK 9244-11			ESK 9244-09			ESK 9244-09A			ESK 9244-03			ESK 9244-10			ESK 9244-09			ESK 9244-09A			ESK 9244-03		
	WT GM	SKEW RAD		WT GM	SKEW RAD		WT GM	SKEW RAD		WT GM	SKEW RAD		WT GM	SKEW RAD		WT GM	SKEW RAD		WT GM	SKEW RAD		WT GM	SKEW RAD	
1	-0.001	.00025		-0.002	.0095		+.0004	.00264		0.0	-.00052		-.0012	.009		-.0002	.0085		-.0002	.0046		-.0002	.0046	
2	0	.00113		-0.003	.01015		+.0002	.00264		0.0	-.00008		-.0006	.0142		-.0001	.0083		-.0001	.0087		-.0001	.0087	
3	0	.000661		-0.002	.0095		+.0001	.00299		-.0001	-.00008		-.0004	.0086		-.0001	.0104		0.0	.0083		0.0	.0083	
4	-0.001	.000556		-0.007	.01238		0.0	.00254		-.0001	.00034		-.0007	.01619		-.0006	.0123		-.0006	.0037		-.0006	.0037	
5	0	.000954		-0.002	.00842		+.0001	.00238		-.0001	.00044		-.0009	.0163		-.0002	.0090		-.0002	.0081		-.0002	.0081	
6	-0.001	.000547		-0.004	.00974		0.0	.00254		-.0002	-.00002		-.0003	.0116		-.0003	.0072		-.0003	.0079		-.0003	.0079	
7	0	.000652		-0.005	.01197		0.0	.00355		-.0001	.00087		-.0004	.0096		-.0006	.0166		-.0006	.0099		-.0006	.0099	
8	0	.000557		-0.002	.00492		-.0012	.00181		0.0	-.00007		-.0002	.0110		-.0002	.0163		-.0002	.0042		-.0002	.0042	
9	-0.001	.000609		0.0	.01035		-.0008	.00391		-.0001	-.00017		-.0005	.0122		-.0002	.0112		-.0002	.0098		-.0002	.0098	
10	-0.001	.000602		-0.006	.01172		+.0002	.00218		+.0002	.00054		-.0011	.0130		-.0006	.0131		-.0006	.0047		-.0006	.0047	
11	0	.000701		0.0	.00492		+.0002	.00558		-.00047	-.00047		-.0006	.0097		0.0	.0083		0.0	.0043		0.0	.0043	
12	0	.000456		-0.002	.00482		-.0002	.00624		-.00024	.00024		0.0	.0132		-.0003	.0084		-.0003	.0073		-.0003	.0073	
13	0	.001057		0.0	.01121		+.0002	.00188		-.00078	-.00078		-.0002	.0098		0.0	.0099		0.0	.0046		0.0	.0046	
14	0	.000554		-0.003	.00888		0.0	.00279		-.00018	.00018		-.0005	.0092		-.0003	.0161		-.0003	.0041		-.0003	.0041	
15	-0.003	.000957		-0.005	.01243		+.0003	.00431		-.00059	-.00059		-.0004	.0139		-.0006	.0130		-.0006	.0048		-.0006	.0048	
16	-0.002	.000555		-0.002	.01197		+.0002	.00066		-.00037	-.00037		-.0003	.011		-.0004	.0121		-.0004	.0035		-.0004	.0035	
17	-0.001	.000657		-0.003	.01319		-.0005	.0033		-.00014	-.00014		-.0008	.0114		-.0004	.0110		-.0004	.0100		-.0004	.0100	
18	-0.001	.000806		-0.002	.00989		-.0002	.0034		-.0005	.0005		-.0005	.0112		-.0002	.0100		-.0002	.0094		-.0002	.0094	
19	0	.001208		-0.001	.00949		-.0002	.00477		-.00002	-.00002		-.0004	.0127		-.0003	.0116		-.0003	.0098		-.0003	.0098	
20	-0.002	.001215		-0.003	.00928		+.0001	.00228		.00105	.00105		-.0003	.0107		-.0002	.0098		-.0002	.0044		-.0002	.0044	
21	-0.001	.000609		-0.003	.00857		+.0001	.00315		-.00049	-.00049		-.0004	.0122		-.0002	.0105		-.0002	.0039		-.0002	.0039	
22	-0.002	.000455		-0.003	.01147		+.0001	.00345		.00065	.00065		-.0011	.0154		-.0003	.0109		-.0003	.0036		-.0003	.0036	
23	-0.002	.000697		-0.003	.00928		-.0001	.00279		.000089	.000089		-.0073	.0293		-.0002	.0096		-.0002	.0043		-.0002	.0043	
24	+.0001	.00061013		-0.042	.02262		-.0001	.00178		-.00047	-.00047		-.0104	.0093		-.0054	.0263		-.0054	.0051		-.0054	.0051	
MEAN	-0.00113	.000699		-0.0044	.01042		.0000416	.003065		.000144	.000144		-.00123	.012429		-.0005	.01170		-.0005	.0061		-.0005	.0061	

NOTE:

1. Test Suspended (6 hours)
2. Test Suspended (4 hours)
3. After Test Completion (10 hrs) 28/09/82
4. After Test Completion (10 hrs) 21/10/82
5. After Brg. Failure (7 hrs) 22/12/82


The very small levels of roller weight losses which occurred after 10 hours of operation coupled with the very small amount of dynamic unbalance (See Table 7) would be expected to result in a small skew angle increase as seen in table 6. This is the case and as seen in the comparison with other test bearings presented in Table 6, the skew angle increases are the second lowest exceeding only those of ESK 9244-03.

3.1.2 Oil Temperature Changes Figure 13 is a presentation of the oil temperature changes recorded during the 5 hour calibration run of 30 points. The plot is the increase in oil temperature as it had passed through the test bearing.

Further elaboration concerning the data generated for this figure must be presented. The first point is that because of extremely cold test cell ambient conditions and the length of oil piping supplying the test bearing, the temperatures at the oil jet nozzle were significantly below the limits specified in the test schedule of Table 5. The second point is that the thermocouples which were located in the oil scavenge lines were located approximately 3 feet downstream of the test section. Therefore, some heat loss to the surroundings must be expected within this length. A final point of consideration is the heat conduction, within the test section itself, from the slave bearing section oil scavenge which collects in this area before being returned to the oil tank (see figure 7a).

# TABLE 7 INITIAL ROLLER DYNAMIC UNBALANCE

TEST Roll No	ESK 9244 -11, mgmm <sup>2</sup>	ESK 9244 -03, mgmm <sup>2</sup>	ESK 9244 -10, mgmm <sup>2</sup>	ESK 9244 -09, mgmm <sup>2</sup>	ESK 9244 -09A, mgmm <sup>2</sup>	ESK 9244 -07, mgmm <sup>2</sup>
1	1.029000	1.741000	25.509003	124.783997	41.996994	70.778000
2	3.809000	3.053000	25.141998	118.781006	91.195999	78.753998
3	5.090000	2.840000	51.307999	134.727005	135.600006	138.378998
4	2.333000	2.987000	50.792007	49.807999	97.197006	46.785004
5	9.587000	0.463000	31.673996	137.882004	75.556000	47.492004
6	9.149000	12.269000	40.119995	84.778000	94.554993	68.378998
7	8.391000	1.800000	36.845993	101.544006	33.143005	116.395996
8	2.800000	6.543000	49.440994	110.828003	47.147995	140.324005
9	4.212000	0.900000	43.464996	98.272995	18.451004	91.149002
10	3.600000	1.200000	53.358002	42.992004	12.696000	101.171997
11	1.977000	0.600000	56.895004	121.994995	33.283997	79.190002
12	17.070007	2.087000	14.706000	76.867004	38.470993	53.904007
13	2.000000	1.200000	52.334000	85.404999	4.405000	112.794998
14	3.600000	1.587000	55.589996	83.272995	5.199000	37.923004
15	2.948000	2.400000	11.658000	51.966995	29.964005	112.796997
16	1.703000	1.200000	51.167007	64.128006	45.572006	131.927002
17	21.548996	0.900000	30.684998	61.841995	39.378998	135.595993
18	8.981000	1.200000	17.658005	100.677002	48.888000	123.639999
19	2.400000	0.300000	19.798996	60.968002	25.001999	78.268005
20	2.104000	0.300000	27.126999	53.884003	38.242996	57.496994
21	0.467000	0.600000	51.552994	136.753006	42.934006	56.770004
22	5.711000	1.708000	53.949997	101.544998	34.095001	56.697998
23	0.000000	8.619000	61.813004	87.574005	33.867996	56.673004
24	4.236000	8.680000	42.921997	67.537994	26.565994	84.772003
						0.000000
AEV. UNBAL = mgmm <sup>2</sup>	5.194327	2.715702	39.812927	89.950439	45.558609	86.668991




**FIGURE 14 OIL TEMPERATURE CHANGE THROUGH TEST SECTION vs SHAFT SPEED (ESK 9244-11)**

3.1.3 Bearing Outer Ring Temperature Test bearing outer ring temperatures were measured with two thermocouples (table No.3) with the results presented in figure 15. The test results indicate that an oil jet flow rate of 10 lb/min. provides the coolest outer ring temperature operation at the 3.0 MDN level. However at speeds below 2.5 MDN, the minimum jet flow rate of 5 lb/min. is most effective. The high jet flow of 15 lb/min. is the most ineffective in maintaining cool outer ring operation. These results can be explained by the fact that the high flow rates of 15 lb/min. would entrain a larger volume of oil into the bearing than either of the other flow rates. Since a major portion of the heat is generated (according to theory) by viscous drag, it is logical that the high flow results in the hottest running bearing. At the other extreme of 5 lb/min., the oil flow rate is not sufficient to adequately cool the bearing at the high speed end of the testing range although it provides for the coolest running bearing at the low speed range.

Running the bearing with 10 lb/min. jet oil flow and varying load shows that load is not a significant parameter for heat generation and has a diminishing significance at the higher speed range.

3.1.4 Bearing Oil Flow Rates An investigation of bearing oil flow rates and axial scoop efficiency was carried out under constant load conditions for the three oil jet flow rates of 5, 10 and 15 lb/min. The results presented in figure 16 would indicate that the highest scoop



**FIGURE 15 TEST BEARING OUTER RING TEMPERATURE  
VS SHAFT SPEED (ESK 9244 - 11)**




FIGURE 16

AXIAL OIL SCOOP EFFICIENCY  
vs SHAFT SPEED (ESK 9244-11)



rejection rate is synonymous with the highest jet flow rates. In all cases, oil scoop efficiency improves with increasing speed and is the result of the increased pumping with the highest overall efficiency gain associated with the maximum flow rate.

3.1.5 Bearing Cage Speed The results of the bearing cage speed investigation are presented in figure 17. The plot considers the effect of varying oil flows and bearing loads over a range of shaft speeds. The figure is a plot of percentage cage speed from theoretical epicyclic vs shaft speed.

Considering only load changes (at a constant flow rate of 10 lb/min) up to a maximum speed of 3 MDN it can be seen that the percentage of cage speed for 100 lb, and 300 lb is virtually identical at 2 MDN and the difference remains small even at 3 MDN. At the load of 500 lb however and 2 MDN the percentage cage speed (to theoretical) is about 5% higher, at 3 MDN, all speeds are virtually identical. The results would imply that a threshold exists above which slip is dramatically reduced. As the speed is increased, it would appear that, for the loads used, the overriding factor is that the cage speeds are similar irrespective of load or oil flow rates.




FIGURE 17 BEARING CAGE SPEED VS  
SHAFT SPEED (ESK 9244-11)

At shaft speeds below 2.5 MDN the cage speed is shown to also be dependent upon the oil flow rates. At 2 MDN for instance and 100 lb load, the cage speed varies from 77%, 89.5% and 83% of true epicyclic for 5, 10 and 15 lb/min. flow rates respectively. As speed increases however, this difference is reduced and the main affect seems to be that of speed and is independent of load.

Figure 17 indicates a maximum cage speed occurring at 2.9 MDN and decreasing at the 3 MDN value. No viable explanation for this effect has been found.

3.1.6 Bearing Roller Spin Speed The roller bearing spin speed data was recorded on tape and the data reduced to x, y plots maintaining the phase relationship with the roller frequency and cage frequency. The roller spin speed data has been reduced and presented in figures 18 and 19. The figures present the roller spin speeds for different azimuth positions considering loadings of 100 lb and 500 lb respectively and cover a variety of speed ranges. It was expected that the spin speeds would increase as the roller entered the bearing load zone and then decrease in the unloaded areas. It is evident that the roller experienced a wide range of speeds over one entire cage revolution. The spin therefore does not appear to be a function of roller azimuth, i.e., load position nor shaft speed cage revolution.





FIG. 18  
ROLLER SPIN SPEED VS AZIMUTH POSITIONS  
(100 LB. RADIAL LOAD)



NOTE: ROLLER LOADED AT 180° AZIMUTH

$\Delta$  2 MDN  
 $\circ$  2.5 MDN  
 $\square$  2.75 MDN  
 $\nabla$  2.9 MDN





FIG. 19  
ROLLER SPIN SPEED VS AZIMUTH POSITIONS  
 (500 LB. RADIAL LOAD)



Δ 2 MDN  
 ○ 2.5 MDN  
 □ 2.75 MDN

NOTE: ROLLER LOADED AT 180° AZIMUTH

Based on the results of this bearing test, no definitive conclusions can be realized as to the roller spin speed behaviour.

### 3.2 ESK 9244-03

3.2.1 Roller Weight & Skew Angle Change The individual roller weights were measured before and after running the 10-hour test and the differences are presented in table 6. The individual rollers show an almost negligible weight change with an average loss of .00006 gm. with standard deviation of .000097 gm.

The skew angles for each roller are recorded both before and after the 10-hour tests. These measurements are carried out with the test bearing inner race and rollers. The roller skew angle change for this bearing is recorded in table 6; an average skew angle change of .495 minute has been recorded.


The total skew angle increase for this bearing is the smallest of all of the bearings tested and is approximately one-fifth of the next smallest skew angle increase. The level of initial roller element dynamic unbalance was also extremely low and is at least one half of the next lowest value. Based on the low levels of initial

dynamic unbalance (see table 7) and weight change after operation, the low skew angle increase was to be expected.

3.2.2 Oil Temperature Changes Figure 20 is a presentation of the oil temperature changes recorded using the five (5) hours of "Calibration" running according to the test sequence of table 5.

The oil flow rate of 10 lb/min. with the three radial loadings (see fig. 20) show an increase in temperature with increased loading. In particular, for loadings of 85 lb and 250 lb the variation in oil temperatures is minimal. However, as the loading is increased to 400 lb, a larger temperature increase of 5°F above the previous two lower loading conditions exists which diminishes as the speed approaches 50,000 rpm. Here the predominant factor in oil temperature increase is speed rather than load. In general an oil temperature rise of 50°F occurs over the speed range from 1 MDN to 3 MDN. While temperatures at speeds below 1 MDN remain relatively close to oil inlet temperature.

The minimum oil flow rate of 5 lb/min and a radial loading of 85 lb. results in the largest temperature increase of 64°F at 3 MDN. This is 14°F above the 10 lb/min. flow rate and 16°F above the maximum oil flow of 15 lb/min.



**FIGURE 20 OIL TEMPERATURE INCREASE THROUGH BEARING VS SHAFT SPEED (ESK 9244-03)**



The temperatures for 10 lb/min. flow and 400 lb radial load is coincident with the 85 lb load and minimum flow (5 lb/min) until 2 MDN. Above this speed, and disregarding the major influence of speed on temperature, loading becomes more influential in increasing oil temperatures, but the predominant factor would appear to be oil flow rates.

The maximum oil flow rate of 15 lb/min results in the lowest oil temperature increase. Although at 3 MDN, the temperature is almost coincident with the 10 lb/min flow, at speed ranges below 1.5 MDN the higher flow rate runs marginally hotter than a 10 lb/min oil flow.

3.2.3 Bearing Outer Ring Temperature Figure 21 is a plot of the bearing outer ring temperature. The coolest outer ring is associated with the highest oil flow rate of 15 lb/min. for an overall gain of 55°F as compared to 43°F for the oil temperature change of figure 20. The highest outer ring temperature was encountered when the bearing was run with the lowest oil flow of 5 lb/min. In this case the outer ring reached a temperature of 318°F and was rising very sharply at the 3 MDN limit.

In case of a constant 10 lb/min. oil flow rate, the temperatures at 3 MDN increased as the loading increased, although the temperatures for the loadings of 85 lb and 400 lb are only approximately 6°F apart.




FIGURE 21 TEST BEARING OUTER RING  
TEMPERATURE VS SHAFT SPEED  
(ESK 9244-03)

The results of load variation and the constant oil flow of 10 lb/min. would indicate that speed rather than load is the predominant factor for heating of the bearing ring. The variation in flow rates is, however, the major factor with a temperature range, at 3 MDN, of 32°F (from 5 lb/min. to 15 lb/min. oil flow rates).

3.2.4 Bearing Cage Speed The bearing cage speed is established using a fibre optics probe and a test bearing cage blackened over 180 azimuth degrees. The signal is produced by reflection/absorption and produces a square wave. When the signal is plotted as a time function, the cage rotational frequency is established.

The cage signal strength decreased within the first test points run on the bearing, and was lost within the next several test points. Upon the completion of the bearing test and subsequent rig strip, it was discovered that all cages (i.e, test as well as support bearings) had turned black. It was, therefore, this lack of contrast which prevented the fibre optics probe from functioning.

3.2.5 Roller Spin Speed Some difficulty has been experienced with this equipment, and shaft speed has been registered rather than the roller spin speed. The source of this anomaly has not been established but it may originate from a residual magnetic field within the rig. The roller spin coils can be connected either in series or in parallel

and may influence the type of signal frequency received. At the initiation of the test, the coils were wired and positioned to generate the strongest signal, and it was not until data reduction began that the loss of spin frequency became apparent.

### 3.3 ESK 9244-10

3.3.1 Roller Weight and Skew Angle Change The individual roller weight and skew angle changes are presented in Table 6 and are the results of 10 hours of operation according to the test schedule. The mean skew angle increase of .0125 radian is the highest value of the bearings tested with the exception of ESK 9244-07 which had terminated in bearing failure. The average weight loss of the rolling elements of .0012 gm is also the largest loss of any of the bearings tested, again with the exception of ESK 9244-07. Roller number 12 which did not reflect any weight loss had a skew angle change approximately one-half of the calculated mean while still being the second smallest value of all 24 rolling elements. The largest skew angle increase was associated with the largest dynamic unbalance (roller number 23 see Tables 6,7). While the dynamic unbalance of roller number 12 was approximately one quarter of the mean value, the skew angle change was only one-half as large (as the maximum value).

3.4 ESK 9244-09 The testing of the bearing was interrupted after 6 hours of running to facilitate modifications to the test rig assembly. The testing had proceeded to the point of completion of all the calibration running as well as 1 hour of the endurance operation. This opportunity was used to perform geometrically associated measurements of roller weights and skew angles. At the completion of the test schedule, these same bearing parameters were again measured and recorded. It was therefore possible to associate the wear with a particular period of operation (see figure 22).

3.4.1 Roller Weight and Skew Angle Change The results of the skew angle and weight changes are shown in Table 6 after 6 hours of operation as well as at the completion of the 10-hour test. Considering first the results after six hours of operation, the average weight change of .00044 gm includes the effect of three rollers (numbered 9, 11 and 13) which did not show any loss of roller weight. Paradoxically, two of these same rollers had the most significant increase in roller skew angle change. And, at the completion of this test, two of these rollers still did not show a loss in roller weight although roller number 11 almost doubled in skew angle increase. This roller also had the fifth highest dynamic unbalance value. The other roller (i.e roller number 13) which did not change weight during operation showed a slight decrease in skew angle change. This anomaly may have been the result of roller orientation in relation to the wear on the end faces when the measurement was taken. The mean weight lost after 6 hours of operation (60% of the total test time)




FIGURE 22


SKIEW ANGLE INCREASE vs OPERATING TIME

approached 88% of the total weight loss while the mean skew angle increased by approximately the same percentage of 89%. This would indicate that the roller wear rate is initially very high and is reduced as the testing progresses, suggesting a "wear in" period of operation. The general trend is one of increasing skew angle with increasing unbalance and roller weight loss.

3.4.2 Bearing Cage Speed The results of the bearing cage speed investigation are presented in Figure 23 and consider the effects of variations in radial loads and oil flow rates over the speed range of 1 MDN to 3 MDN.

Considering a constant oil flow rate of 10 lb/min and a variation in loading, the cage rotational speed approaches the theoretical speed confirming the expected result of better roller traction under the influence of the higher load. However, as speeds increase above 1 MDN the cage speed drops off slightly but the higher radial loading still produces cage speeds which are nearer to the theoretical.

At 3 MDN however, the cage speeds corresponding to 400 lb and 85 lb radial load are coincident and marginally above the cage speed associated with the 250 lb radial load. The largest percentage cage slip at 1.0 MDN occurs under a loading of 85 lb with an oil flow rate of 5 lb/min. and is a



**FIGURE 23 BEARING CAGE SPEED VS SHAFT SPEED (ESK 9244-09)**



few percentage points below the 10 lb/min. oil flow rate with corresponding loading. The 250 lb load with 10 lb/min. oil supply have coincident points at 1 MDN with the 15 lb/min. oil flow at the lower loading of 85 lb. At 3 MDN, the 15 lb/min. oil flow rate (with 85 lb radial load) resulted in the highest cage speed. Based on these two results, it would suggest that either the higher percentage of oil within the bearing provides additional circumferential driving force, since the oil is delivered at inner ring speed, or the extra heat generated within the bearing as the result of oil churning, has reduced the bearing internal clearance providing for additional roller loading. At the extreme speed range of 3 MDN, the predominant factor influencing cage speed appears to be the rotor speed since all of the test points are within a few percentage points of each other.

3.5 ESK 9244-09A The test schedule for this bearing was temporarily interrupted after 4 hours of operation because of difficulties experienced in the operation of the test rig. In this sequence all of the "calibration" running had not been completed with the operation of 15 lb/min. oil flow rates outstanding. As in the case of the other interrupted operation, measurements of weight and skew angle changes were recorded to provide a graduation of change in these parameters (see Figure 22).

3.5.1 Roller Weight and Skew Angle Change The results of the skew angle and weight changes presented in Table 6 are measurements taken at the end of 4 hours of operation and at the completion of the test schedules. Considering the results after 4 hours of operation, some instances of individual roller weight increases were noticed, and have been attributed to errors in measurement since these values were very small and at the limits of the instrumentation. The weight change increased by a factor of 10 at the termination of the test while the skew angle doubled from the measurements taken after 4 hours of operation. These changes are much more dramatic than those recorded for test bearing ESK 9244-09 after 6 hours and 10 hours of operation. In comparing these results, it would appear that operation at 3 MDN, as occurred in ESK 9244-09, was the major wear period for the bearing.

The results, on weight change, after 10 hours of operation is virtually identical to that for the similar bearing ESK 9244-09 tested. In the case of the skew angle, however, this change appears to be in direct ratio to the degree of initial dynamic roller unbalance (see table 7) in each bearing set.

3.6 ESK 9244-07 The testing of this bearing was terminated by the fracture of the cage side rail (see Figure 24A through 24E). The total running time on this bearing was 7 hours 15 minutes with cage failure occurring after 2






FIGURE 24A    TEST BEARING ESK 9244-07  
CAGE FRACTURE (OUTER RING  
REMOVED, ROLLER REMOVED)



FIGURE 24B TEST BEARING ESK 9244-07  
CAGE FRACTURE (CLOSEUP OF  
CAGE FRACTURE)



24 23 22 21 20

FIGURE 24C TEST BEARING ESK 9244-07  
CAGE FRACTURE(CAGE AND  
ROLLER DISASSEMBLED)



FIGURE 24D

TEST BEARING ESK 9244-07 ROLLER  
CAGE FRACTURE (CLOEUP OF ROLLER  
21 CYCLINDRICAL SURFACE)




FIGURE 24E TEST BEARING ESK 9244-07 ROLLER  
CAGE FRACTURE (CLOSEUP ROLLER  
21 END SURFACE)

and 1 quarter hours of operation in the endurance schedule. Prior to this, the bearing operation was relatively uneventful with the only noteworthy occurrences being a 5-minute run at test point no. 23 before it was terminated due to high outer ring temperatures, and the elimination of "calibration" test point no. 24 also due to high outer race temperatures.

The test rig shutdown was automatic when the outer raceway temperature reached the pre-set limit values of 350 °F, maximum temperature recorded were 390 °F and 401 °F (for the two outer race thermocouples located in the horizontal plane 180°F apart). Simultaneous with the automatic shutdown, the test operator initiated emergency shutdown procedures when shaft speed fluctuations were noticed on the panel readout. The fractured cage was apparent after the rig was shut down and the front cover removed. The test bearing was removed for detailed inspection and recording. The side rails of the cage pocket containing roller number 21 were fractured and the side rails on the non-flanged side (of the outer ring) were approximately one quarter of the original axial width suggesting wear from contact with the roller end face. Only the cage pockets on each side of the fractured pocket showed similar side rail wear and was located on the same side as well. All of 24 roller elements were heavily pitted over



their entire circumference with roller number 21 (located in the cage pocket with the fractured side rails) showing the most significant diametral change with approximately .005 in. loss in diameter (other rollers averaged .001 loss in diameter). Heavy wear and metal removal were present in the bearing outer race especially toward the flanged side with discoloration indicative of severe over temperature. The inner race showed signs of distress with the pitting over the entire surface being more pronounced on the flanged side of the bearing assembly.

3.6.1 Roller Weight and Skew Angle Change The roller weight and skew angle changes recorded were the result of the 7 hour 15 minute test run and the subsequent bearing cage fracture. Since the final weight and skew angle measurements were made after the bearing failure, it is impossible to assign values of change to normal operation up to the failure point from those associated with the bearing cage fracture. The skew angle increases were remarkably consistent, as is obvious in reviewing the results presented in table 6, with roller number 21 only, being 30% above the average, the weight loss for this roller was much more dramatic at 116% above the average value. The dynamic unbalance of this particular roller however was 35% below the average (see table 7). If it is assumed that the cage side rail wear was the result of roller skewing motion before fracture, then factors other than initial dynamic

unbalance of the roller must be considered. The results presented in Table 6, because they are measurements taken subsequent to the bearing failure, must be viewed with caution, the initial dynamic unbalance however does provide for valid comparisons. In this case, the average dynamic unbalance is exceeded only slightly by the highest unbalance measured which is associated with bearing ESK 9244-09, and is almost twice as high as the next largest initial mean dynamic roller unbalance value measured on the duplicate bearing ESK 9244-09A.

3.7 Bearing Not Tested Testing on bearing ESK 9244-01 was terminated at point 27 of the calibration schedule when a failure of the Conrad bearing occurred. Investigation of this failure revealed a significant design fault in the test section to drive coupling adapter. Rectification of this problem would have required extensive funding beyond contract and it was agreed with the USAF that the program be terminated at this point and conclusions be drawn from data already generated.

Bearings not tested as a result of this decision were as follows:

- ESK 9244-01
- ESK 9244-02
- ESK 9244-08
- ESK 9244-06
- ESK 9244-09B
- ESK 9244-04
- ESK 9785-01
- ESK 9785-02
- ESK 9785-03
- ESK 9785-04

3.8 Load Bearing Lubrication The modification to the load bearing oil supply system provided the means for a direct comparison of the cooling effectiveness of the side jetted and under-race oil schemes. These results are presented for the speed ranges of 1.0 MDN to 3.0 MDN. As is evident in figure 25, a reduction in the raceway temperature of approximately 100°F at 3 MDN was achieved by the employment of under-race lubrication.

#### 4.0 ANALYSIS OF ROLLER END WEAR DATA


In fulfillment of the requirements of reference 1 the wear data has been subjected to statistical analysis at P&WA, East Hartford and the results and conclusions drawn therefrom are detailed in the following report.

4.1 Summary The present 60 mm bearing data suggests a qualitative trend in agreement with the 124 mm test results but does not warrant any modification of the TRIBO 1 wear model. Any further tests to improve the wear model should be directed toward:

i) Clarifying the wear coefficient in relation to break-in vs steady state and the effects of speed, oil temperatures, oil flow etc.

ii) Completion of the test as planned in the original design experiment, see Table 1 and

iii) Final correlation of the data resulting from these tests with the TRIBO 1 model.



**FIGURE 25** LOAD BEARING OUTER RING TEMPERATURE  
 vs SHAFT SPEED

4.2 Statistical Analysis of Wear Data The statistical analysis of the wear results from the small bore bearing tests was completed. Table 8 displays the recommended design experiment test plan for evaluating the effect of various geometric parameters on the wear characteristics of 60 mm bearings. The experiment was designed to enable separation of the main effects of seven parameters which would be done by evaluating bearings 1 thru 14, see Table 8. Furthermore, the effects of two bearing vendors, FAG and SBB, bearings 6 and 15 respectively, would be evaluated. Only six bearings were actually tested, however with one bearing a repeat, No. 9A, and one bearing No. 7 having failed. Table 9 shows the relative level of the six controlled parameters and corresponding initial dynamic unbalance. Inner ring guide flange height was held at a constant level for these bearings, so it is not included in the analysis. Since only four of the 14 recommended bearings were successfully tested, several parameters were confounded; i.e., concurrent changing of their relative (high-low) values occurred making it impossible to deduce one trend as being more significant than another. Also in Table 9 are the average wear values of 24 rollers for the 10-hour test. The eight parameters included in the analysis to explore the wear characteristics are (see Table 10 for actual dimensions):

TABLE 8

## RECOMMENDED DESIGN EXPERIMENT

<u>VENDOR</u>	BEARING <u>NUMBER</u>	<u>BEARING PARAMETERS</u>						
		<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>G</u>
FAG	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	4	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
	5	0	0	1	1	1	0	1
	6	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
	7	1	1	0	1	1	1	1
	8	1	1	1	0	1	0	1
	9	1	1	1	1	0	1	1
	10	1	1	1	1	1	1	0
	11 (B/L)	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
SBB	12	1	0	1	0	0	1	1
	13	0	1	1	1	0	0	1
	14	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
	15	1	1	0	0	0	0	0

LEGEND

- 0 - indicates the low value of the parameter  
 1 - indicates the high value of the parameter

- A - Roller Corner Radius Runout  
 B - Roller End Squareness  
 C - Roller End Clearance  
 D - Inner Ring Guide Flange Height  
 E - Inner Race Taper  
 F - Roller L/D Ratio  
 G - Guide Flange Contour

## RELATIVE WEAR RANKING (WITHIN MATRIX)

## INNER RACE TAPER

### GUIDE FLANGE CONTOUR

### ROLLER L/D RATIO

KEY: Block includes bearing number and, in parenthesis, the relative wear ranking

9A is a repeat of 9.

0 - low runout value

l - high runout value

ROLLER CORNER RAD. R/O  
ROLLER END CLEARANCE  
ROLLER END SQUARENESS  
INITIAL DYN. UNBALANCE

<u>Bearing</u>	<u>Average Weight Loss (grams)</u>	<u>Average <math>\Delta</math>Skew (radian)</u>	<u>Relative Wear Rank</u>
3	.000006	.00002	(6)
7	.02982	.0281	(1)
9	.000050	.0117	(3)
9A	.000060	.0061	(4)
10	.00123	.0124	(2)
11	.00011	.0007	(5)

TABLE 10

## ACTUAL BEARING DIMENSIONS

<u>BEARING PARAMETER</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>9A</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>11</u>
Roller Corner Rad. R/O	.0018	.0692	.0692	.065B	.0708	.0016
Roller End Squareness	.0005	.0081	.0084	.0048	.0104	.0005
Roller End Clearance	.024	.028	.078	.070	.069	.027
Inner Ring G.F. Ht.	70.072	70.096	70.095	70.086	70.087	69.737
Inner Race Taper	.172	3.438	.630	.458	3.323	.573
Roller Length	6.004	8.003	8.008	8.004	8.008	8.004
Guide Flange Contour	Flat	Round	Round	Round	Flat	Flat
Initial Dyn. Unbalance	2.716	86.669	89.950	45.560	39.810	5.194

All parameters are measured in "mm" except Inner Race Taper (minutes)  
and Initial Dynamic Unbalance (mg-mm<sup>2</sup>)



- A. Roller Corner Radius Runout
- B. Roller End Squareness
- C. Roller End Clearance
- D. Inner Ring Guide Flange Height
- E. Inner Race Taper
- F. Roller L/D
- G. Inner Ring Guide Flange Contour
- H. Initial Dynamic Unbalance

Key observations from Table 9 are: (a) the data available for analysis is sparse resulting in confounded effects, (b) parameters A, B, and H increase concurrently as relative wear increases, and (c) parameter E increases as relative wear increases.

The main parameters affecting high speed roller bearing life are considered to be average roller weight loss and average skew angle change and will therefore be used to evaluate and rank the roller bearing variables tested. For the statistical analysis these two dependent variables are expressed as follows:

$Y_1$ , Average Weight Loss

$Y_2$ , Average Skew Angle Change

Multiple regression equations were developed from the experimental weight loss and skew angle change data by the method of least squares. Each equation yields the mean value of one of the dependent variables. The equations,

therefore, yield the expected wear performance of a roller bearing under specific operating conditions. Prior to the development of the actual regression equations, a mathematical model was in each instance formulated relating the independent variables to the dependent variable. The general form of the model is a multivariate polynomial in which the coefficients appear linearly. The terms in each model are candidates for inclusion in the fitted regression equation.

The general model takes the form:

$$Y = B_0 X_0 + B_1 X_1 \dots + B_n X_n + e = \hat{Y} + e$$

where Y is the observed value of a particular dependent variable, either  $Y_1$  or  $Y_2$ ,  $\hat{Y}$  is the observed value of the dependent variable computed from the expression involving the X and B terms, where the X terms are the value of the independent variables, the B terms are the coefficients to be estimated from the experimental wear data, and e represents the difference between the observed and the estimated value of the dependent variable due to the residual variation or experimental error in the observations.

AO-A168 694


TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT FOR SMALL HIGH SPEED MAINSHAFT  
ROLLER BEARINGS(U) PRATT AND WHITNEY CANADA LONGUEUIL  
(QUEBEC) W K CRAWFORD ET AL. APR 86 AFMAL-TR-85-2091  
F33615-70-C-2049 F/G 13/9

2/2

UNCLASSIFIED

NL





MICROCOPY

CHART

The regression analysis was performed both with and without bearing 7 since it is not known how the failure affected the magnitude of the wear characteristics. These data sets were analyzed in order to determine the values of the regression coefficients as well as other statistics. The regression models developed for each dependent variable,  $Y_1$ , and  $Y_2$  are shown in the tabulations below. The information presented includes;

- . Variable name
- . COEFFICIENTS: Calculated values of the regression coefficients
- . SIGNIFICANCE LEVEL: The numerical percentage describing the significance of a cause (independent variable) and effect (dependent variable) relationship. The higher the percent, the more statistically meaningful the relationship.
- . PERCENT VARIABILITY EXPLAINED:  $R^2$ , which is the square of the multiple correlation coefficient  $R$ , is a measure of the proportion of variation in the dependent variable accounted for by the regression equation. The closer  $R^2$  is to 100% the greater the accuracy of the prediction equation.

- . SEE, or Standard Error Estimate, is the magnitude of the error for the prediction of the dependent parameter. Regression analysis minimizes the SEE value where

$\hat{Y}$  is the calculated value of the dependent variable based on the regression equation

$Y$  is the actual value of the dependent variable

and

$$\hat{Y} - \text{SEE} \leq Y \leq \hat{Y} + \text{SEE} \quad 68\% \text{ of the time}$$

$$\hat{Y} - 2 \text{ SEE} \leq Y \leq \hat{Y} + 2 \text{ SEE} \quad 95\% \text{ of the time}$$

$$\hat{Y} - 3 \text{ SEE} \leq Y \leq \hat{Y} + 3 \text{ SEE} \quad 99.7\% \text{ of the time}$$

where the actual value will be contained within the interval of  $Y \pm K \text{ SEE}$  a given percent of the time.

- .  $R$ , the correlation between  $Y$  and  $\hat{Y}$ , is an index indicating the degree of association between  $\hat{Y}$  and  $Y$ , where a value of 1.0 indicates a perfect fit, i.e., no experimental error, and a value of 0 indicates no association, i.e., large experimental error.

- .  $N$  is the sample size

#### 4.2.1 Multivariate Linear Regression Without Bearing 7

Dependent Variable	Independent Variable	Intercept	Coefficient and Significance Level		% Variability Explained	See	R	N	Figure
Average Weight Loss	Roller Corner Radius Runout	0.00006	0.0105	(91%)	67.4	0.00031	0.821	5	29
	Roller End Clearance	-0.00022	0.0104	(85%)	55.0	0.00036	0.742	5	30
	Roller End Squareness	0.00004	0.0939	(96%)	81.1	0.00024	0.901	5	26
	Initial Dynamic Unbalance	-0.00028	0.000006	(75%)	20.4	0.00048	0.452	5	31
Average Skew Angle Change	Roller Corner Radius Runout	-0.00011	0.1495	(98%)	86.1	0.0026	0.928	5	32
	Roller End Clearance	-0.00510	0.2098	(97%)	84.6	0.0027	0.920	5	33
	Roller End Squareness	-0.00026	1.3008	(99.9%)	98.4	0.0009	0.992	5	27
	Initial Dynamic Unbalance	0.00107	0.00014	(92%)	69.5	0.0038	0.834	5	34

The above regression equations demonstrate that Roller End Squareness is only slightly more significant than the other three parameters with which it is confounded. This comparison will not be done for the following equations since two independent variables have been included, making a similar comparison very difficult.

#### 4.2.2 Multivariate Linear Regression Including Bearing 7

- . For Average Weight Loss - No significant regression possible because average weight loss for bearing 7 is 20 times that of the next largest.
- . For Average Skew Angle Change (Figure 28)

	<u>COEFFICIENT</u>	<u>SIGNIFICANCE LEVEL</u>
Constant	-0.00286	
Inner Pace Taper	-0.00385	95%
Initial Dynamic Unbalance	0.00016	95%
Percent Variability Explained = 93.0    SEE = 0.00357 radians		
R = 0.964                      N = 6		

The significance level permits the evaluation of each term in the response regression equation with a value  $< 80\%$  indicating no significant effect and a value  $\geq 99\%$  indicating a very strong bearing variable. Those independent parameters not included in the regression models were either not statistically significant, or correlates



with a parameter already in the equation (confounded).

Figures 26, 27 and 29 thru 34 show graphic representations of the single-variable equations. Figure 28 exhibits the best fit line through the data for initial dynamic unbalance at both high and low levels of inner race taper.

#### 4.3 TRIBO 1 and the Wear Model

TRIBO 1 is a state-of-the-art cylindrical roller bearing design tool developed by P&W under contract with the Navy and Air Force in the High Speed Roller Bearing Program, References 1 and 2. The program is composed of two basic modules - STATIC and SYSDYN. The module SYSDYN is, in turn, composed of the modules CADYN and RODYN. Figure 35 shows the relationship of the modules to each other and to the complete program.

STATIC is the module containing the structural analysis of the bearing due to loads resulting from quasi-static equilibrium. RODYN is the module that analyzes roller dynamic behavior without the influence of the element retainer or cage. CADYN is the module that analyzes the cage dynamic behavior without the influence of the rollers. The module SYSDYN analyzes the complete system dynamic behavior allowing full interaction among the rollers, the cage, and the two raceways. The complete program, TRIBO 1, is employed by running the modules STATIC and SYSDYN together so that the output from STATIC is used as input to the module

SYSDYN.STATIC may also be run to provide input for the module RODYN.

The computer program was constructed in a modular fashion to maximize flexibility and efficiency and to allow for partial analysis, wherein a certain aspect of the analysis may be considered separately in order to save computation time.

TRIBO 1 requires input information which specifies significant geometry features of the bearing such as corner radius, guide flange layback angle and end clearance. Each of these geometry variables has an effect on the overall force system acting on the rollers and cage and thus affects the dynamic motion of the bearing. As bearing diameter increases or decreases from some reference value, the relative importance of one geometry feature compared to any of the others may change. For example, parameters such as roller diameter and length which affect the overall mass of the roller may increase considerably if the bearing radius is doubled, while roller end clearance which affects the dynamic damping at the roller ends remains relatively constant.

TRIBO 1 has been correlated for 124 mm roller bearings under contracts N00140-76-C-0383 and N00140-81-C-4800. The wear data generated from the parametric testing conducted under these contracts has been incorporated into the TRIBO 1 wear model as described in reference 1. Updates to the model, reference 3, included the effects of corner radius runout and end runout on both dynamic behavior and wear.

In each of the previous contracts, the relative importance of each parameter with regard to the overall dynamic behavior of the bearing, including its wear rate, was well established via testing. Since the dynamic motion of the bearing as modeled by TRIBO 1 was also correlated to the same test data, there is a high degree of confidence that the output roller motion and wear prediction are realistic for bearings of similar size run at similar speeds.

Because of the small number of bearings successfully run for the 60 mm program, it is not possible to statistically separate the effect of each geometry parameter on the overall motion or resulting wear. Thus, a comparison cannot be made with the previous 124 mm data to determine if any changes in the relative ranking of the individual geometry variables has occurred. Without such a comparison, modification of the existing TRIBO 1 wear model would not be meaningful and is not recommended.

Review of the limited amount of data available does not indicate that any significant changes in the relative importance of any of the tested parameters has occurred with the reduction in bearing diameter to 60 mm. Thus, basic trends identified in the original TRIBO 1 wear correlation based on 124 mm bearing data have been confirmed by the 60 mm test data but further quantification of the wear coefficients in the analysis to reflect small bearing operation is not practical under the current circumstances.

The present TRIBO 1 wear model is based on the applicability of Archard's Law of wear on impact sliding during roller and guide flange metal to metal contact. Archard's Law of Wear states that the wear

$$W_i = \frac{K P_i S_i}{3H} \quad (1)$$

is produced whenever a contact occurs in the dynamic system. The total wear is then the sum

$$W_{total} = \sum_i \frac{K P_i S_i}{3H} \quad (2)$$

where


- W = wear volume (inch<sup>3</sup>)
- P = load (lb) = impact force
- S = sliding distance (inch)
- H = Brinell hardness
- K = empirically determined wear coefficient

While H is a material constant and P and S are calculated from the SYSDYN module of TRIBO 1, K is determined from test data and depends on whether the contact occurs during the break-in period or during steady state operation.


The parametric study of the 124 mm A/F bearings revealed that the roller coupled corner radius run out is the most significant parameter followed by the roller end clearance and roller squareness. Of course the end effect of the corner radius runout and the end squareness is the roller unbalance which produces the skew force. Thus, roller unbalance and end clearance have the most significant effect on normal roller wear. This qualitative trend is not difficult to understand. Its quantitative aspect requires test data for model correlation purposes.

In the present 60 mm roller bearing study it was also found that the most significant effect on roller wear comes from the corner radius runout, end squareness, initial unbalance and end clearance. Again, the roller unbalance and the roller end clearance provide the most impetus to the normal roller wear - the same qualitative trend established in the 124 mm bearing program.

Test data from the 60 mm bearings is, however, rather limited. It consists of two distinct sets for 10 hr wear data - one with high roller unbalance and large end clearance and the other with low roller unbalance and small end clearance. Since intermediate wear data is not available, the characteristic wear coefficient relating to the break-in period as distinct from steady state operation is not known. Even if one assumes the same wear coefficient for both operating modes, the fact that the effects of roller unbalance and end clearance are confounded does not provide the information necessary to identify the independent effects.




**FIGURE 26**      **AVERAGE WEIGHT LOSS vs**  
**ROLLER END SQUARENESS**



**FIGURE 27**


**AVERAGE SKEW ANGLE CHANGE  
vs ROLLER END SQUARENESS**



**FIGURE 28**


**AVERAGE SKEW ANGLE CHANGE vs  
INITIAL ROLLER DYNAMIC UNBALANCE  
(AT HIGH AND LOW INNER RACE TAPER)**






**FIGURE 29**


**AVERAGE WEIGHT LOSS vs ROLLER  
CORNER RADIUS RUNOUT**



**FIGURE 30**      **AVERAGE WEIGHT LOSS vs ROLLER  
END CLEARANCE**




**FIGURE 31 AVERAGE WEIGHT LOSS vs INITIAL  
ROLLER DYNAMIC UNBALANCE**




**FIGURE 32**


**AVERAGE SKEW ANGLE CHANGE vs  
ROLLER CORNER RADIUS RUNOUT**



**FIGURE 33 AVERAGE SKEW ANGLE CHANGE  
vs ROLLER END CLEARANCE**



**FIGURE 34** AVERAGE SKEW ANGLE CHANGE vs  
INITIAL ROLLER DYNAMIC UNBALANCE



**FIGURE 35**      **TRIBO 1 MODULAR PROGRAM  
CONSTRUCTION**

## 5.0 CONCLUSIONS

The temperature measurements carried out on the bearing outer rings all show the expected trend of increasing temperature with dn number. The average ring temperature increase from 1.0 Mdn to 3.0 Mdn is generally in the range of 50°F to 150°F. The oil temperature rise in passing through the bearing generally falls within the range of 50°F to 75°F.

A survey of bearing cage speed versus the shaft speed indicates that at values below 2.6 Mdn, the cage speed is proportional to the loading as well as oil flow rates. At speeds above 2.7 Mdn, cage slip is minimal and at 3.0 Mdn, the cage speed is in the order of 97% of the epicyclic value and appears to be totally independent of applied load or oil flow rates.

The statistically useable data represents only 4 individual bearing designs out of the 14 contained in the original designed experiment. Statistical analysis of the data submitted led to the conclusion that the effects of at least four of the independent variables were confounded; that is, cannot be separated. It was further concluded that nothing was to be gained by using this data to attempt a modification of the existing TRIBO I wear model. The parametric trends already incorporated in TRIBO I based on earlier 124 mm bearing data are not contradicted by the limited 60 mm data. Based on these facts, no changes were made to the TRIBO I wear model as a result of the subject study.



## References

1. Technical Report No. NAPC-PE-60C, "Development Of Mainshaft High Speed Cylindrical Roller Bearings For Gas Turbine Engines", Vol. I-IV, November 1980.
2. Technical Report No. AFWAL TR-80-2071, "High Speed Cylindrical Roller Bearing Development", August 1980.
3. Technical Report No. NAPC-PE-114C, "Bearing Roller Stability Model", May 1985.

END

DTIC

7-86